

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Aaron R. Eastman.	(3) <u>Jerald Meyer</u> .
(2) Stanley Protigal.	(4)
Date of Interview: 19 June 2008.	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∏ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,4 and 5</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>No</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Mr. Proligal agreed to pr and 5 into claim 1. additional language was discussed. Mr and agreed to proposed amentment.	opose to applicant adding the subject matter of claims 4
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTEREDIT OF THE SUBSTANCE	e last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if required

 Attachment to a signed Office action.

 U.S. Platest and Trademark Office PTDL-413 (Rev. 04-03)
 Interview Summary
 Paper No. 20080624